

**Village of Pinckney
Joint Meeting with Village Council
And Planning Commission
May 16, 2022**

President Pro Tem Kinczkowski called the meeting to order in Village Council Chambers at 220 S. Howell, Pinckney, at 7:00 pm on May 16, 2022.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Bierman, Kinczkowski, Lavey, Matson and Smith for Village Council
Grischke, Hartman, Kinczkowski, C. Oliver, D. Oliver and Wagner for
Planning Commission

Also Present: J. Chapman (Clerk), J. Durkin (Zoning Administrator)

Absent: Foster and McInnes from Village Council
McCall from Planning Commission

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

PLANNING COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT TO COUNCIL

Motion was made by Lavey to accept the Planning Commission Report as presented;
seconded by Matson.

Yeas: Bierman, Kinczkowski, Lavey, Matson and Smith

Nays: None

Absent: Foster and McInnes

Motion carried in a roll call vote.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

1) ORDINANCE REVIEW

a. Subdivision Control Ordinance

This Ordinance would regulate platted subdivisions. Most subdivisions today are developed as site condos. Our attorney has been pushing for this. We need to make sure any Ordinance we adopt mimics the site condo Ordinance, making things standardized.

b. Property Maintenance Code

It is being proposed that we adopt the 2009 version of the International Property Maintenance Code for the purpose of ensuring safety for all properties, commercial and residential. The Village has a dangerous building code, but this would be more inclusive. It would also make enforcement easier. Discussion was held on excluding certain portions of the Code. Julie indicated that we can go through the process of making exceptions from what is written in the International Code and encouraged everyone to review it. While she was unable to get copies for everyone, she will try to get a link and send it out later this week.

c. Accessory Dwelling Units

This is about secondary units such as in-law quarters, rental units, etc. consistent with the existing dwelling. This does not regulate short-term rentals or air bnbs. There is ongoing legislation regarding those items and it would be better to wait until that has passed to move forward on anything of that nature. ADUs are currently not allowed in the Village. Probably worth looking into as residents have contacted Julie asking about them. There was concern about keeping residences single family-dwellings and also about parking. It was agreed that ADUs should be looked into further.

d. Alternative Energy

The biggest piece of this is wind energy systems. The Village could remove the commercial aspect of this by disallowing it. We need to address the general requirements of alternative energy systems such as size, location, etc. There are currently no regulations in place to address them, and we are seeing more people moving toward solar energy.

e. Shipping Containers

Julie has had calls regarding these containers. We need to have something put in place to protect the Village. The intent is to prohibit permanent use of the containers, provide for the criteria for which they are allowed and reviewed by the Zoning Administrator, and explain how residents would go about applying for them. Discussion was held on how these containers could be used and their maintenance. We currently have no regulations and would prefer to prohibit shipping containers on all properties.

f. Section 152.388 – General Application Standards

All were in agreement that the submission deadline for plan submittal, which is currently 14 days, should be changed to 30 days.

g. Sign Ordinance

The current Ordinance is not adequate. One example of a necessary change is adding time restrictions for temporary signage. The Attorney will need to provide some language to ensure that we are meeting current legislation.

Public Forum was opened at 8:04 p.m.

Carol and Timothy Cogswell, of 625 Muriel St., wanted to discuss the repaving of their driveway. They would like to use concrete but feel that the Village standard is unfair due to the costs involved. They would like to ask for a waiver. Julie mentioned that the tech standards are very specific about this matter. Financial burden is not a valid reason for a waiver in this case. Discussion was held on commercial versus residential driveway standards. A decision could not be made at this meeting. Julie agreed to talk to the Village Engineer and suggested that this could be added as an agenda item at a later meeting.

Motion to adjourn was made by D. Oliver; seconded by Smith.

Meeting Adjourned at 8:13 p.m.

Approval Date

Jill Chapman
Village Clerk

Ted Kinczkowski
President Pro-Tem

Christine Oliver
Planning Commission Chair